Low-power laser therapy in chemical-induced oral mucositis: a case study
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INTRODUCTION

Oral mucositis (OM) is a common complication of chemotherapy and (or) radiotherapy, representing respectively, 40% and 100% of cases of oral mucosa inflammation.¹² Erthema, ulceration, bleeding, swelling and pain are among the symptoms and signs, compromising nutrition, speech and fluid intake of the patients, predisposing them to systemic infection.³ The World Health Organization (WHO) classifies oral mucositis into: grade 0: absent; Grade 1: erythema, grade 2: erythematous and ulcerated, tolerating solids; Grade 3: erythematous and ulcerated, tolerating liquids only; Grade 4: erythematous and ulcerated, precluding feeding.² The low power laser therapy (LPL) works in the prevention and treatment of OM, providing for analgesic and anti-inflammatory effects, greater patient comfort, maintaining mucosal integrity and better tissue repair.⁵ With this paper we aim at analyzing the effectiveness of laser therapy in the treatment of oral mucositis.

CASE PRESENTATION

BMCR - department of pediatric oncology, a 15-year-old female diagnosed initially with Ewing’s sarcoma in the right clavicle, submitted to chemotherapy with ifosfamide, etoposide and vincristine (doxorubicin in subsequent cycles) under parenteral nutrition, complaining of intense pain. She had febrile neutropenia and pancytopenia, the patient received packed red blood cells and platelets and granulocyte, cepine, fluconazole and nystatin. Undergoing orthodontic treatment, with poor oral hygiene, she developed grade 3 mucositis lesions (WHO) in the buccal mucosa and left retromolar triangle. The dentist removed the device, removed the biofilm and polished her teeth. Laser therapy was instated三次 three times a week for treatment of the mucositis lesions. We started with the 780 nm wavelength (J), and an energy density of 4.3 J/cm², and analgesic agent around the lesions (Figure 1). BMCR was instructed concerning oral hygiene and use of mouthwashes with chlorhexidine gluconate at 0.12%. After the first session, pain subsided and after the second, the patient was fed; after the fourth session, the lesions had decreased, healing almost entirely after the fifth application. A new cycle of chemotherapy was started two weeks later. Preventive laser at λ 660 nm, energy density of 1.3 J/cm² per point in the region of the buccal mucosa, mouth floor, tongue and palate, three times a week, was applied in order to avoid lesion recurrence. Currently, in the fourth cycle of chemotherapy, the patient no longer developed mucositis lesions. Thus proving the functional and clinical efficacy of the LPL, accelerating wound healing, reducing pain and length of hospital stay.

DISCUSSION

Oral mucositis is defined as an inflammation and ulceration of the oral mucosa with pseudomembrane formation and potential source of infection, particularly febrile neutropenia, such as it happened with our patient (BMCR). Pathologically, in mucositis there is a shallow ulcer generating interstitial exudate, cellular debris and fibrin, producing a pseudomembrane analogous to a superficial skin scar. The chemotherapy-induced mucositis varies from 40 % to 76 % for patients treated with standard and high-dose chemotherapy, respectively.¹ In intensive chemotherapy for relapse after remission, the association with ifosfamide, carboplatin and etoposide, and irinotecan are known to be toxic for the oral mucosa.² Antimetabolite agents (methotrexate, cytarabine, mercaptopurine), alkylating agents (melphalan busulphan), antibiotics (Doxorubicin) and etoposide, both used by the patient, also induce mucositis.³⁻⁴ In this case, the LPL eliminates pain already at the first application. This fact is attributed to the release of endorphin in the nerve endings of the ulcer, while promoting tissue biostimulation, quickly repairing the ulcerations.

FINAL COMMENTS

It is necessary to encourage the use of low-power laser for the prevention and treatment of oral mucositis in cancer patients. It is a low cost and viable option in otolaryngology, without side effects.
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